



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,590	02/04/2004	Roberto Pelliconi	361181-1011	2338
32914 7590 04/06/2009 GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION 3000 THANKSGIVING TOWER 1601 ELM ST DALLAS, TX 75201-4761				
EXAMINER				
PUENTE, EVA YI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2611				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/06/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/772,590

Applicant(s)

PELLICONI ET AL.

Examiner

EVA Y. PUENTE

Art Unit

2611

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 January 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-6, 9-10, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22, 23 and 25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-6, 9-10, 14, 16-17, 19, 22-23, and 25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 1/8/09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2-6, 9-10, 14, 16-17, 19, 22-23, and 25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Upp (US 5,901,146).

a) Regarding claim 4, Upp discloses a method for synchronizing a data interchange in a semiconductor substrate integrated electronic circuit comprising a transmitter block (bus master 100 in Fig. 5) and a receiver block (user 112) connected through a communication network, comprising:

generating a data signal having a transmission period on a first line that from said transmitter block must be received by the receiver block (Data on bus 118);

generating on a second line a congestion signal from the receiver block to the transmitter block when a congestion event (CONJ bus 128);

generating on a third line a synchro signal starting from said transmitter block (FRAME bus line), this synchro signal indicating to the receiver block that the data signal comprises a new datum (new data is inherent since data line is bi-directional and transmitted continuously), and in that the congestion signal interrupts also the transmission of said synchro signal when a congestion event of the receiver block occurs (Col 6, L25-49; no "FRAME" communication when request is not granted); and

reading, by the receiver block, of the data signal with a different sampling period than the transmission period of the transmitter block (The data is transferred between asynchronous devices; Fig. 4; Col 5, L5-11).

b) Regarding claim 5, Upp discloses a method for synchronizing a data interchange in a semiconductor substrate integrated electronic circuit comprising a transmitter block (bus master 100 in Fig. 5) and a receiver block (user 112) connected through a communication network, comprising:

generating a data signal having a transmission period on a first line that from said transmitter block must be received by the receiver block (Data on bus 118);

generating on a second line a congestion signal from the receiver block to the transmitter block when a congestion event of the receiver block occurs in order to interrupt the transmission of said data signal (CONJ bus 128);

generating on a third line a synchro signal starting from said transmitter block (FRAME bus line), this synchro signal indicating to the receiver block that the data signal comprises a new datum (new data is inherent since data line is bi-directional and transmitted continuously), and in that the congestion signal interrupts also the

transmission of said synchro signal when a congestion event of the receiver block occurs (Col 6, L25-49; no "FRAME" communication when request is not granted); and reading, by the receiver block, of the data signal with a different sampling period than the transmission period of the transmitter block (The data is transferred between asynchronous devices; Fig. 4; Col 5, L5-11); wherein the different sampling period is a shorter sampling period than the transmission period of the transmitter block (Fig. 4; Col 5, L5-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 3, 14, 16, 17 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Upp (US 5,901,146) in view of Cagenius (US 7,047,028).

a) Regarding to claims 2 and 25, Upp discloses a method for synchronizing a data interchange in a semiconductor substrate integrated electronic circuit comprising a transmitter block (100 in Fig. 5) and a receiver block (112 in Fig. 5) connected through a communication network, comprising:

generating a data signal having a transmission period on a first line that from said transmitter block must be received by the receiver block (Data bus line 118);

generating on a second line a congestion signal from the receiver block to the transmitter block when a congestion event of the receiver bloc occurs in order to interrupt the transmission of said data signal (CONJ bus line 128; Col 6, L44-49); and

generating on a third line a synchro signal starting from said transmitter block (FRAME bus line), this synchro signal indicating to the receiver block that the data signal on the first line comprises a new datum (it is inherent since Data bus line is bi-directional and transmit continuously),

wherein the congestion signal interrupts also the transmission of said synchro signal when a congestion event of the receiver block occurs (Col 6, L L44-49).

Upp disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of a delay in the synchro signal with respect to the data signal.

However, Cagenius discloses data and frame sync signals in a communication system, wherein a timing delay in the frame sync signal is measured. The amount of delay depends on channel conditions and is independent from data signal (Fig. 5; Col 10, L28-41). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the time delay of frame synch signal of Cagenius with the data communication system of Upp. Thus indicate synchro signal is delayed for communication over the third line with respect to the data signal which is communication over the first line. By doing so, ensure channel condition measurement accuracy in a data interchange communication system.

b) Regarding to claim 3, Upp did not explicitly teach the synchro signal is delayed half transmission period. However, Cagenius discloses timing delay in the frame sync

signal. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the time delay of frame synch signal of Cagenius with the data communication system of Upp, and set time delay of frame synch signal at a half transmission period with respect to the data signal. By doing so, accurately control data interchange communication system.

c) Regarding to claim 14, Upp discloses a communication protocol method, comprising: transmitting from a transmitting entity (100 in Fig. 5) to a receiving entity (112 in Fig. 5) along with a data signal (Data bus line 118) and a synchronization signal (FRAME bus line) indicating to the receiving entity that the data signal comprises new datum (it is inherent since Data bus line is bi-directional and transmit continuously); and inhibiting transmission of the synchronization signal by the transmitting entity in response to an indication received from the receiving entity of the existence of a congestion condition at the receiving entity (CONJ bus line 128; Col 6, L44-49; no "FRAME" communication when request is not granted),

wherein the data signal is communicated on a first communication line and the synchronization signal is communicated on a second communication line (Fig. 5).

Upp disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching of a delay in the synchro signal with respect to the data signal.

However, Cagenius discloses data and frame sync signals in a communication system, wherein a timing delay in the frame sync signal is measured. The amount of delay depends on channel conditions and is independent from data signal (Fig. 5; Col 10, L28-41). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

invention was made to combine the time delay of frame synch signal of Cagenius with the data communication system of Upp. Thus indicate synchro signal is delayed for communication over the third line with respect to the data signal which is communication over the first line. By doing so, ensure channel condition measurement accuracy in a data interchange communication system.

- d) Regarding to claim 16, Upp discloses the protocol method as in claim 14, wherein the indication of the existence of a congestion condition at the receiving entity is received over a third communication line (Fig. 5).
- e) Regarding to claim 17, Upp discloses the protocol method as in claim 14 further including inhibiting transmission of the data signal in response to the indication received from the receiving entity of the existence of a congestion condition at the receiving entity (CONJ bus line 128; Col 6, L44-49).

6. Claims 6, 9, 10, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by Upp (US 5,901,146) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

- a) Regarding to claim 6, Upp disclose a method for synchronizing a data interchange in a semiconductor substrate integrated electronic circuit comprising a transmitter block (100 in Fig. 5) and a receiver block (112 in Fig. 5) connected through a communication network, comprising:

generating a data signal having a transmission period on a first line that from said transmitter block must be received by the receiver block (Data bus line 118);

generating on a second line a congestion signal from the receiver block to the transmitter block when a congestion event (CONJ bus line 128; Col 6, L44-49); and

generating on a third line a synchro signal starting from said transmitter block (FRAME bus line), this synchro signal indicating to the receiver block that the data signal comprises a new datum (it is inherent since Data bus line is bi-directional and transmit continuously), and in that the congestion signal interrupts also the transmission of said synchro signal when a congestion event of the receiver block occurs (Col 6, L44-49).

Upp disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching that (1) the first, second and third communication lines are each comprises a repeater device; and (2) an elementary delay must be shorter than half of the transmission period.

However, AAPA disclose data being transmitted and received through a communication network in a conventional way, wherein tristate repeaters are inserted between each stage of the communication line (B1-Bn in Fig. 1). The tristate type of repeater device (Bn) on data communication line (5a in Fig. 1), and the congestion communication line (6a) drive the tristate operation of the repeater devices for the data communication line in response to the congestion signal. These repeaters are capable of sampling and maintaining the voltage level of the data signal line being inputted therein ([0016]). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of invention was made to implement the repeaters of AAPA in the data transfer system of Upp. Thus indicates that the first, second, and third communication lines are split into

stages with repeaters. The first and third communication lines are being controlled by the second communication line through the repeaters. By doing so, improve and prevent data exchange error in a communication system.

In addition, AAPA discloses each stages has a time delay ([0019]; An in Fig. 1). AAPA did not specify the delay time must be shorter than half the transmission period. However, such limitation is merely matter of design choice. The delay elements implemented in the communication lines of both instant application and AAPA are used to facilitate data interchange in a communication system. The amount of delay time will not alter the system as a whole, which is to accurately transmit and received data. Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to set the delay time shorter than the half of transmission period in the data communication system of Upp. By doing so, facilitate data interchange in a communication system.

b) Regarding to claim 9, Upp disclose an integrated electronic circuit being integrated on a semiconductor substrate comprising a transmitter block (100 in Fig. 5) and a receiver block (112 in Fig. 5) connected through a communication network, said communication network comprising a first line for a data signal (Data bus line 118), a second line for a congestion signal (CONJ bus line 128; Col 6, L44-49), and a third line for a synchro signal (FRAME bus line).

Upp disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching that the first, second and third communication lines are each comprises a repeater device.

However, AAPA disclose data being transmitted and received through a communication network in a conventional way, wherein tristate repeaters are inserted between each stage of the communication line (B1-Bn in Fig. 1). The tristate type of repeater devices (Bn) on data communication line (5a in Fig. 1) and the congestion communication line (6a) drive the tristate operation of the repeater devices for the data communication line in response to the congestion signal. These repeaters are capable of sampling and maintaining the voltage level of the data signal line being inputted therein ([0016]). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of invention was made to implement the repeaters of AAPA in the data transfer system of Upp. Thus indicates that the first, second, and third communication lines are split into stages with repeaters. The first and third communication lines are being controlled by the second communication line through the repeaters. By doing so, improve and prevent data exchange error in a communication system.

c) Regarding to claim 10, Upp disclose wherein said signal line comprises a couple of further lines for unidirectional signals indicating the transmission direction between said transmitter block and said receiver block (100 and 112 in Fig. 5), a negotiation to define the transmission direction being controlled by a further transmission request signal driven by the receiver block (ACK bus line 126; Col 6, L37-44).

d) Regarding to claim 19, Upp disclose a communication system, comprising:
a first communication block (100 in Fig. 5);
a second communication block (112 in Fig. 5);

a communication network interconnecting the first and second communication blocks (bus lines in Fig. 5); the communication network comprising:

a first communication line for carrying a data signal (Data bus line 118);

a second communication line for carrying a congestion signal (CONJ bus line 128; Col 6, L44-49); and

a third communication line for carrying a synchronization signal (FRAME bus line); wherein the synchronization signal is active whenever the data signal on the first communication is new datum and inactive whenever the congestion signal on the second communication line is active (Col 9, L29-51).

Upp disclose all the subject matters above except for the specific teaching that the first, second and third communication line is each split into corresponding stages and comprises a repeater device.

However, AAPA disclose data being transmitted and received through a communication network in a conventional way, wherein tristate repeaters are inserted between each stage of the communication line (B1-Bn in Fig. 1). These repeaters are capable of sampling and maintaining the voltage level of the data signal line being inputted therein ([0016]). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in art at the time of invention was made to implement the repeaters of AAPA in the data transfer system of Upp. Thus indicates that the first, second, and third communication lines are split into stages with repeaters. The first and third communication lines are being controlled by the second communication line through the repeaters. By doing so, improve and prevent data exchange error in a communication system.

7. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Upp (US 5,901,146) in view of Hann (US 6,965,558).

a) Regarding to claim 22, Upp disclose a communication system, comprising:

a first communication block (bus master 100 in Fig. 5);

a second communication block (user 112);

a communication network interconnecting the first and second communication blocks (bus lines as shown in Fig. 5); the communication network comprising:

a first communication line for carrying a data signal (Data on bus 118);

a second communication line for carrying a congestion signal (CONJ bus 128; Col 6, L44-59); and

a third communication line for carrying a synchronization signal (FRAME bus line); wherein the synchronization signal is active whenever the data signal on the first communication is new datum ("FRAME" and "DATA" are active when there is no congestion) and inactive whenever the congestion signal on the second communication line is active (Col 9, L29-51; no "FRAME" and "DATA" communication when there is congestion);

wherein the first and second communication lines are bi-directional (Fig. 5), further including:

a transmit signal line (CLOCK bus line)); and

a receive signal line (ACK bus line);

wherein the transmit and receiver signal lines interconnected the first and second communication blocks, and control signals thereon specify, for the bi-directional first

communication line, which of the first and second communication blocks is a transmitter of the data signal and which of the first and second communication blocks is a receiver of the data signal (Col 6, L36-44; ACK and CONJ bus lines grant data communication between the master and users).

Upp did not explicitly teach the third communication line is bi-directional and including a transmit signal line and receive signal line. However, Upp discloses that the master bus and user bus are interchangeable. The user bus could be the master bus (Col 1, L28). In addition, Hann discloses data communication between a master and a slave network (Fig. 2), wherein comprises data (52), clock (36), and synch (40) bus lines, which are bi-directional (Col 3, L58-60). The master and slave network can communication in reverse directions (Col 4, L6-8). Therefore, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the network communication system of Hann with the data communication system of Upp. Thus indicates the first (DATA), second (CONJ), and third communication lines (sync) are bi-directional, including a transmit signal line in one communication direction and a receive signal line in a reversed communication direction between the user bus and master bus. By doing so, facilitate data interchanged in a communication system.

b) Regarding to claim 23, Upp discloses a request signal line that interconnects the first and second communication, and a control signal thereon used to negotiate which of the first and second communication blocks is to be transmitter/receiver (ACK bus line 126; Col 6, L36-44).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eva Y Puente whose telephone number is 571-272-3049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 27, 2009

/Eva Y Puente/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611